



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/024,757	12/20/2001	Tony Piotrowski	US010628	3946	
24737	24737 7590 05/20/2004			EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J		
			APTIBUT	DADED MUDADED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2171		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	, >	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

In

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/024,757	PIOTROWSKI, TONY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Haythim J. Alaubaidi	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 2001.					
	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2002</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is a First Non-Final Office Action in response to Application No. 10/24757, Filed on December 20, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination, of which Claims 1, 7 and 15 are independent.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 6-7, 9-11, 13-15 and 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).
- 4. Claims 2-3, 5, 8, 12 and 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 4, 6-7, 9-11, 13-15 and 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stephan H. Maes (European Patent Application EP 1 143 679 and Maes hereinafter).

Regarding Claims 1, 7, 9-11, 15 and 17, Maes discloses:

Art Unit: 2171

receiving a voice data packet related to a desired item or service; (Figure 1, Elements No. 15, 16, 24, 21, 22 and 23 and corresponding text; see also Col 5, Paragraph [0019])

forming a search request using information from the voice data packet, (Figure No. 3a, Element No. 105 and corresponding text; see also Col 9, Paragraph [0030]; see also Col 2, Paragraphs [0006] and [0007])

the search request including audio information; (Figure No. 1, Element No 15 and 16 and corresponding text; see also Col 7, Paragraph [0024])

searching one or more databases for the desired item or service; (Figure No 1, Elements No. 25 and 26 and corresponding text)

and providing a result of the search to the user (Col 21, Lines 27-31, i.e. search result; see also Figure 3a, Element 107 and corresponding text).

Regarding Claim 4, Maes discloses searching step includes searching one or more databases over the Internet (Figure No. 1 and corresponding text; see also Col 6, Paragraph [0023]).

Regarding Claim 6, Maes discloses verifying the user's identity using a voice authentication process (Col 21-22, Paragraph [0066]).

Regarding Claim 13, Maes discloses audio-to-text unit, wherein at least a portion of the search request includes electronic text data (Col 6-7, Paragraphs [0023] and [0024]

Regarding Claim 14, Maes discloses a result indication formatter that formats the search results in a predetermined order (Col 11, Lines 4-12)

Art Unit: 2171

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-3, 5, 8, 12 and 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephan H. Maes (European Patent Application EP 1 143 679 and Maes hereinafter) in view of Ronals A. Katz (U.S. Patent No. 6,055,513 and Katz hereinafter).

Regarding Claims 2-3, 8 and 16 Maes's reference discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate wherein, the information includes one or more of the following types of information: consumer information, merchandize/service description, merchandize/service source, financial information and shipping information. However Katz discloses merchandize/service description (Katz, Abstract, i.e. determining at least one good, service or item of information; see also Col 2, Lines 3-7; see also Col 24, Lines 4-11). Given the intended broad application of the Maes system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Maes with the teachings of Katz to include an item description to be purchased or to

Art Unit: 2171

identify the user purchasing the items or goods, one reason would be to complete the purchasing transaction, as identifying the items and/or good would result in completing the purchasing transaction if the voice or audio search request was in regard to purchasing an item or good or a service.

Regarding Claim 5, Maes's reference discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate wherein the purchase transaction is a credit or debit card transaction. However Katz discloses wherein the purchase transaction is a credit or debit card transaction (Col 9, Lines 22-64). Given the intended broad application of the Maes system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Maes with the teachings of Katz to include credit or debit transactions, one of many reasons would be to increase the system flexibility and maximizing the consumer convenience by allowing the consumer to purchase a good or service using a credit or debit over the Internet instead of mailing cash or other type of checks to the provider of the good or services.

Regarding Claim 12, Maes discloses verifying the user's identity using a voice authentication process (Col 21-22, Paragraph [0066]).

· Art Unit: 2171

Other Prior Art Made of Record

- 9. a. Ram et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6625258) discloses a system and method for providing unified communication services support;
- b. Judkins et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6603854) discloses a system and method for evaluating agents in call center;
- c. Dvorak (U.S. Patent No. 5884266) discloses an audio interface for document based information resource navigation and method therefor; and
- d. Katz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4792968) discloses a statistical analysis system for use with public communication facility.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to
 Applicant's disclosure.

Points of Contact

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haythim J. Alaubaidi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2171

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308-1436.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or telefax at

our fax number (703) 872-9306.

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6th

Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Haythim J. Alaubaidi

Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

May 17, 2004

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100